

# A Simple Method for Assessing An Asphalt Binder Quality Obtained from Different Sources in BMD

Northeastern States Materials Engineers' Association (NESMEA) Annual Meeting Springfield, MA + October 16<sup>th</sup>, 2024

#### Professor Walaa S. Mogawer, P.E. (MA & RI), F.ASCE

Commonwealth Professor of Civil & Environmental Engineering Director - Highway Sustainability Research Center

&

#### Dr. Geoffrey Rowe, P.E.

President and CEO - Abatech Inc.

&

#### Dr. Ibrahim Abdalfattah

Research Engineer Highway Sustainability Research Center University of Massachusetts Dartmouth



# Acknowledgement

Mr. Ed Naras Pavement Management Engineer MassDOT







# Introduction

#### **Background:**

DOTs are integrating sustainable materials into asphalt mixtures to achieve cost-effective and eco-friendly pavements.

#### **Challenges:**

- 1. These sustainable materials must maintain pavement performance. Since traditional volumetric designs don't consider new materials, DOTs are moving to the Balanced Mix Design (BMD) method, which includes performance testing.
- 2. A major challenge is ensuring binder consistency, as changes in source or formulation can unbalance the mix.

#### <u>Goal:</u>

Identify rheological parameters to assess binder quality and develop a rapid test method.



### **Rheological Parameters**

≻Point Parameters: Focus on binder hardness (e.g., G-R parameter).

Shape Parameters: Describe viscoelastic behavior (e.g., phase angle, master curve).

≻Key Focus: Glover-Rowe (G-R) parameter and phase angle at 10 MPa  $(\delta_{10MPa})$ .



# **Objectives**

- 1. Evaluate point and shape rheological parameters of asphalt binders.
- 2. Identify effective parameters for distinguishing binder quality.
- 3. Validate selected parameters with the IDEAL-CT test.
- 4. Develop a rapid testing method for use in production.



# Superpave Binder Specifications – Fatigue Cracking Parameter G\*sinδ

- The Superpave asphalt binder specification uses the parameter G\*sinδ to grade asphalt binders according to cracking resistance at intermediate pavement temperatures.
- ≻ Temperatures listed in the specification range from 4 to 40 °C.
- >G\*sin $\delta$  is measured using a dynamic shear rheometer (DSR).



# **Materials Overview**

- Seventeen (17) asphalt binders sourced from various suppliers.
- Inclusion of three poor-quality binders for benchmarking.
- Types: Unmodified, polymer-modified, and asphalt rubber.



# **Experimental Plan**

- Materials: 20 asphalt binders from four different sources, including high and low-quality samples.
- Testing: DSR and BBR tests, master curve construction, and IDEAL-CT validation.
- Analysis: Ranking of binders based on parameters to correlate with  $CT_{Index}$ .



# **Experimental Plan**

- Superpave Mix Design: Designed using <u>seven</u> binders to validate parameters.
- Testing Conditions: Binders tested in unaged, RTFO, and PAV-aged conditions.



# **Asphalt Binders**

	Source A	Source B	Source C	Source D	Source E (Poor Quality)	Lab Formulated (Poor Quality)
PG52-34 (Base Binder )		×		×		
PG58-28 (Base Binder)	×		×	×		
PG64-28 (Base Binder)		×				
PG64-16					×	
PG64-22						×
PG64S-28	×	×	×	×		×
PG64E-28	×	×		×		
PG64E-34	×					
PG76E-34		×				
Asphalt Rubber	×			×		



# **Master Curve Insights**

- Master Curve: Relationship between stiffness and frequency.
- Time-temperature superposition principle applied for data shifts.
- Provides a holistic view of binder behavior across temperatures.







# **Point & Shape Rheological Parameters**

#### Point

 These can be considered to capture the hardness of asphalt binders. They include specific values on the master curve, such as the G\*, ω<sub>c</sub> and the G-R parameter at a reference temperature and frequency.

#### Shape

They describe the overall shape/form of the master curve, reflecting the asphalt binder's response over a wide range of conditions. In industry, currently four parameters are being considered as additional specification parameters that effectively describe the shape of the master curve:

 R-value, (2) log G<sub>c</sub>, (3) δ<sub>PK</sub> or δ<sub>10MPa</sub> and (4) ΔT<sub>c</sub>.



#### **Point Parameters**

- Point Parameters assess specific binder characteristics at defined conditions.
- Focuses on binder hardness and stiffness at a particular temperature or frequency.
- Examples: Glover-Rowe (G-R) parameter, crossover frequency ( $\omega_c$ ), etc.



# **Glover-Rowe (G-R) Parameter**

- G-R parameter is calculated at 15°C and 10 rad/s using the formula:  $G^{*}(\cos\delta)^{2}/\sin\delta$ .
- Provides insights into cracking potential of binders under intermediate temperatures.
- Higher G-R values indicate increased susceptibility to cracking.



# **Significance of G-R Parameter**

- Effective in differentiating between high and low-quality binders.
- Particularly useful in environments with frequent intermediatetemperature cycling.
- Allows DOTs to identify binders that may lead to early cracking failures.



# **Crossover Frequency** $(\omega_c)$

- Defines the frequency where the binder transitions from elastic to viscous behavior.
- Lower  $\omega_c$  indicates a stiffer, more elastic binder; higher  $\omega_c$  suggests softer binder.
- Significant for understanding how binders respond under dynamic loading conditions.



### **Shape Parameters**

- Shape parameters describe the overall behavior of the binder over a range of conditions.
- Focuses on viscoelastic behavior and how it changes with temperature and frequency.
- Examples: Phase angle at 10 MPa ( $\delta_{10MPa}$ ), Rheological Index (R-value), etc.



# Phase Angle at 10 MPa ( $\delta_{10MPa}$ )

- $\delta_{10MPa}$  represents the phase angle when the binder's complex modulus (G\*) is 10 MPa.
- Provides a benchmark for viscoelastic behavior at a defined stiffness level.
- Important for assessing a binder's ability to resist cracking at intermediate temperatures.



# Interpretation of $\delta_{10MPa}$

- Lower  $\delta_{10MPa}$  values suggest a more elastic binder, better for stress relaxation.
- Higher  $\delta_{10MPa}$  values indicate a more viscous binder, potentially less effective in resisting cracking.
- Useful for comparing performance across different binders with similar grades.



# **Rheological Index (R-value)**

- R-value measures the rate of change in stiffness across temperatures.
- Reflects the curvature of the master curve, indicating binder's aging behavior.
- Higher R-values suggest flatter curves, beneficial for high-temperature performance but may reduce intermediate-temperature flexibility.

# **Comparing Point and Shape Parameters**

- Point parameters provide specific measurements, ideal for rapid quality checks.
- Shape parameters offer a comprehensive view, capturing overall behavior changes.
- Together, they ensure a balanced understanding of binder quality and performance.



# **Master Curve Analysis**

- Master Curve: Illustrates the relationship between binder stiffness and frequency.
- Derived from DSR data at multiple temperatures.
- Essential for understanding binder performance across diverse conditions.



# **Point Parameters Analysis**

- G-R Parameter: Evaluated at 15°C and 10 rad/s.
- Distinguishes between high and low-quality binders.
- Provides insights into binder stiffness and potential for cracking.



# **Shape Parameters Analysis**

- $\delta_{10MPa}$ : Phase angle at a specific modulus of 10 MPa.
- Effective in differentiating binder performance at intermediate temperatures.
- Correlates well with mixture cracking resistance.

#### Numerical Rankings of Point and Shape Parameters (1 = Best & 20 = Worst)

	<u>Point Parameter</u> G-R at 15°C and 10 rad/s		<u>Shape P</u> Phase ang (δ <sub>1</sub>	<mark>Parameter</mark> le at 10 MPa <sub>0MPa</sub> )	<u>Shape Parameter</u> Log cross-over modulus (log G <sub>c</sub> )	
	RTFO	20 Hour PAV	RTFO	20 Hour PAV	RTFO	20 Hour PAV
PG52-34	2	4	2	4	2	4
PG76-34	4	3	8	7	8	7
PG64-28 Base	7	19	7	10	7	9
PG64E-28	17	11	16	12	16	13
PG64-16	20	20	3	2	4	2
PG64-22 Lab Formulated	10	17	20	20	20	20
PG64-28 Lab Formulated	11	13	17	19	17	19



# **Superpave Mix Design**

- 12.5 mm dense-graded asphalt mixture.
- Used seven selected binders to validate parameters.

# **IDEAL-CT Test Overview**

- ASTM D8225-19 method used for intermediate temperature cracking assessment.
- CT<sub>Index</sub>: Higher values indicate better cracking resistance.
- Validation of selected binder parameters through mixture testing.







#### **IDEAL-CT Results**





# **IDEAL-CT Results**

- Mixtures with poor-quality binders showed lower  $CT_{Index}$  values.
- Correlation with G-R and  $\delta_{10MPa}$  rankings verified.
- Supports use of these parameters for quality control.



# **Rapid Testing Method Development**

- Goal: Simplified DSR method for G-R and  $\delta_{10MPa}$ .
- Reduces testing time while maintaining accuracy.
- Focus on practical application in production environments.



### **Rapid Testing Method Development**





# **Comparison of Testing Approaches**

- Traditional Master Curve vs. Simplified Method.
- Advantages of time savings and ease of use.
- No significant loss in data quality or predictive power.



# Validation of Simplified Approach

- Strong agreement between simplified and traditional methods.
- Ensures practical applicability without compromising data integrity.
- Enables faster decision-making during production.



# Validation of Simplified Approach





# **Practical Implications for Industry**

- Potential for integration into DOT specifications.
- Streamlines quality control processes for contractors.
- Supports sustainable practices by ensuring material performance.



# **Recommendations for Implementation**

- Incorporate G-R and  $\delta_{10MPa}$  into BMD specifications.
- Use simplified method for routine binder evaluations.
- Focus on training for testing personnel to ensure consistency.



### Conclusions

- 1. G-R and  $\delta_{10MPa}$  are effective for assessing binder quality.
- 2. Simplified testing method ensures consistency and efficiency.



#### Thank you

# **Questions?**

